

# Patient-centred screening for primary immunodeficiency: a multi-stage diagnostic protocol designed for non-immunologists

E. de Vries<sup>†</sup> for the Clinical Working Party of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID)\*

<sup>†</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Jeroen Bosch Hospital (loc GZG), s-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands

Accepted for publication 17 May 2006

Correspondence: Esther de Vries, Department of Paediatrics, Jeroen Bosch Hospital (loc GZG), PO Box 90153, 5200 ME s-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands.

E-mail: esid@estherdevries.nl

\*A full list of all contributors can be found at the end of the article.

## Summary

Efficient early identification of primary immunodeficiency disease (PID) is important for prognosis, but is not an easy task for non-immunologists. The Clinical Working Party of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID) has composed a multi-stage diagnostic protocol that is based on expert opinion, in order to increase the awareness of PID among doctors working in different fields. The protocol starts from the clinical presentation of the patient; immunological skills are not needed for its use. The multi-stage design allows cost-effective screening for PID within the large pool of potential cases in all hospitals in the early phases, while more expensive tests are reserved for definitive classification in collaboration with an immunologist at a later stage. Although many PIDs present in childhood, others may present at any age. The protocols presented here are therefore aimed at both adult physicians and paediatricians. While designed for use throughout Europe, there will be national differences which may make modification of this generic algorithm necessary.

**Keywords:** diagnostic protocol, immunological evaluation, primary immunodeficiency

## Introduction

Classical primary immunodeficiency disease (PID) [1,2] is relatively rare (approximately 1 : 500–1 : 500 000 in the general population, with variable degrees of ascertainment in different countries). It has therefore not been easy to generate sufficient evidence to support diagnostic decisions. Although many PIDs present in childhood, the most common clinically significant PID, common variable immunodeficiency (CVID), has a peak onset in the second and third decades of life. Early detection of PID, before serious infections have compromised the patient's general condition, is important for the proband's prognosis, and for timely genetic counselling of his family [2]. Adult physicians as well as paediatricians need therefore to consider PID as a potential diagnosis. However, efficient identification of PID within the large pool of potential cases is difficult for non-immunologists. Previously published protocols for diagnosing PID (e.g. [3,4]), are founded on the traditional classification of antibody, T lymphocyte, phagocyte and complement deficiencies. They require at least some knowledge of the immune system and its defects by their users

which non-immunologists often lack, making recognition of these conditions difficult [5].

Based on a Dutch initiative [6–8], the Clinical Working Party of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies (ESID) has composed a protocol for diagnosing PID. This protocol is based necessarily on expert opinion; validation will need to be obtained with clinical practice, possibly leading to amendments in the future. The protocol starts from the clinical presentation of the patient. Not only can this be recognized by all doctors, it is also the best reflection of the physiological effect of the underlying disorder [9]. The protocol is aimed at both paediatricians and adult physicians. The multi-stage design allows timely identification of potential PID in all hospitals, with simple screening tests in the initial phases of the protocol. More costly elaborate tests are reserved for definitive classification at a later stage, in collaboration with an immunologist and specialized laboratory.

## Picking up the signs of PID

Infections are the hallmark of immunodeficiency [2,10]. However, other symptoms may be more prominent at first,

such as the failure-to-thrive they may cause in children, the constitutional symptoms in adults such as weight loss, or concomitant syndrome-specific symptoms such as hypocalcaemia in DiGeorge syndrome. Autoimmune manifestations [11] can be a presenting feature of PID, especially in adults, as well as unusual lymphoid or granulomatous diseases. A good family history is important for the prompt recognition of genetic disorders, although many mutations may be new and the family history is not necessarily positive [2].

It is important to remember the possibility of an anatomical defect, especially when infections recur at the same anatomical site. Also, periodic fever may be difficult to distinguish from recurrent infection [12].

Relevant symptoms and signs from the history and physical examination that should alert any physician to potential PID are listed in Table 1. Any suspicion of a severe immunodeficiency, such as SCID, should result in the immediate involvement of a clinical immunologist.

### Recognizing the different clinical presentations of PID

It is important for all doctors to learn to recognize the different patterns of clinical presentation of PIDs (Table 2, column 1). General practitioners can use these clinical presentations to select patients for referral. Secondary immunodeficiencies (e.g. HIV infection [13]) present in a similar fashion, and occur much more frequently than PIDs in some parts of the world, so it is important to be able to eliminate any underlying condition. It is not necessary to understand immunological mechanisms (Table 2, column 2) to be able to use these different patterns for reliable early suspicion of potential PID.

Other features can be useful to distinguish between the different clinical presentations of PIDs. In children, the time of onset of symptoms can help to elucidate the underlying aetiology. For instance, as long as maternal immunoglobulin is present in the first months of life, antibody deficiency in the child may remain unnoticed [14]. Recurrent bacterial infections will only become prominent later. If only certain pathogens cause clinical problems, as in undue mycobacterial sensitivity, some time is generally needed to encounter these pathogens. However, if ubiquitous opportunistic pathogens cannot be combated, problems will start very early in life. If the immunodeficiency develops later in life, as in common variable immunodeficiency disorder (CVID), the infections will also start later.

The type of pathogen encountered (Table 2, column 3) is causally related to the underlying immunodeficiency [10]. For instance, extracellular encapsulated bacteria that cause ear, nose and throat (ENT) and airway infections are normally cleared by opsonization with specific antibody and complement, and subsequent elimination by phagocytosis. Fungi and bacteria that are normally present on the skin and mucosal surfaces are kept at bay by local phagocytosis. Cytokines and cytotoxic substances secreted by activated T

lymphocytes need to interact with functional macrophages for the elimination of intracellular and slow-growing pathogens. Therefore, specific immunological defects will lead to particular patterns of infection which, together with other special features (Table 2, column 4), help to differentiate reliably between the different clinical presentations of PID.

### Following the appropriate diagnostic protocol

In column 5 of Table 2, the user is directed towards the appropriate multi-stage diagnostic protocol for each clinical presentation for the analysis of possible underlying immunodeficiency. These Protocols 1, 2 and 3 (see Figs 1–3) in fact represent the traditional division into humoral (Ig + C), cellular and phagocyte deficiencies, respectively.

The Protocols comprise several steps. Severe defects are ruled out first, with widely available screening tests that should be accessible to the whole range of hospital doctors. Less severe forms of PID can be diagnosed later, after more frequent non-immunological diseases (Table 2, column 6) have been ruled out. The help of specialized immunology laboratories will be needed for definitive classification using the more elaborate tests presented downstream in the Protocols. Age-related reference values, or controls run in parallel, are needed for correct interpretation of the results.

The advice of an immunologist is extremely important, even during the diagnostic process. In Table 2, the degree of urgency for performing the various tests is outlined in column 5. Areas in italics in the Protocols demarcate the test phases for which collaboration with an immunologist is highly recommended. Detailed information about the various PIDs can also be found by checking the references to current review articles, which are mentioned in Table 2 (column 2).

### Anticipating future developments

In the past two decades, there has been an explosion of knowledge concerning PIDs. This will probably be followed by the identification of many more disease entities in the near future, especially of those with a less overt clinical phenotype [9]. This implies that the multi-stage diagnostic protocol presented here will need to be revised from time to time. It will probably even turn out that PIDs – albeit with varying severity – are more common than we think at present.

### Acknowledgements

Dr Helen Chapel gratefully acknowledges the grant from the European Community 6th Framework Programme 'Policy-orientated and harmonizing research activities in the field of primary immunodeficiency diseases (PIDs)' (EURO-POLICY-PID), which enabled her to spend time

**Table 1.** Symptoms and signs that could point to potential PID.

---

1. The hallmark of immunodeficiency: infections
Recurrent (proven) bacterial infections
Severe infections (e.g. meningitis, osteomyelitis, pneumonia)
Infections that present atypically, are unusually severe or chronic or fail regular treatment
Infections caused by an unexpected or opportunistic pathogen
Severe or long-lasting warts, generalized mollusca contagiosa
Extensive candidiasis
Complications of vaccination [disseminated bacille Calmette–Guérin (BCG) or vaccinia infection, paralytic polio]
Abscesses of internal organs; recurrent subcutaneous abscesses
Prolonged or recurrent diarrhoea
2. Remember the family history
Consanguinity in the parents
Unexplained early infant deaths
Family history of possible immunodeficiency; familial occurrence of similar symptoms (affected males related by the female line, or another clear pattern of inheritance)
3. Miscellaneous signs: they could point to PID, but may not
Abnormal hair
Absence of immunological tissue: a/hypoplasia of thymus, absence of lymph nodes and tonsils
Angioedema (without urticaria)
Ataxia
Auto-immunity
Auto-immune disease in several family members
Bleeding tendency, thrombocytopenia, small platelets
Congenital cardiac anomalies
Chronic diarrhoea (malabsorption, pancreatic insufficiency)
Delayed separation of umbilical cord (> 4 weeks)
Delayed shedding of primary teeth
Dental crowding or other anomalies
Developmental delay
Difficult-to-treat obstructive lung disease
Digital clubbing
Dysmorphism
Eczema, dermatitis (severe)
Eosinophilia (unexplained)
Facial abnormalities
Failure to thrive (child) or wasting (adult)
Giant granules in phagocytes
Gingivostomatitis (severe), recurrent aphthae
Graft- <i>versus</i> -host reaction after blood transfusion, or mother-to-child (infant)
Hypersensitivity to sunlight
Hypocalcaemic seizures
Lymphadenopathy (excessive)
Lymphocytopenia
Malignancy (mainly lymphoma)
Microcephaly
Neonatal exudative erythroderma
Organomegaly (spleen, liver)
(Partial) albinism, pale skin
Poor wound healing; scarring
Retinal lesions
Rib abnormalities
Stunted growth or disproportional growth
Telangiectasia
Thymoma
Unexplained bronchiectasis, pneumatoceles, interstitial lung disease
Vasculitis

---

Table 2. The different clinical presentations of PID: direction indicators for the diagnostic process.

Column 1 Clinical presentation	Column 2 Suspected immunodeficiencies	Column 3 Encountered pathogens	Column 4 Special features	Column 5 Diagnostic protocol	Column 6 Non-immunological differential diagnosis
<b>Recurrent ENT and airway infections</b>	Selective antibody deficiencies [14], complement deficiencies [15], CVID [16] Sometimes phagocyte deficiency (mainly neutropenia) [17,18], WAS [19], HIV [13]	Mainly extra-cellular bacteria such as non-typable <i>H. influenzae</i> , pneumococcus. Sometimes: <i>S. aureus</i> , meningococcus, group A streptococcus, <i>M. pneumoniae</i> , <i>U. urealyticum</i> , <i>C. jejuni</i> , enteroviruses (Echovirus, poliovirus), giardia lamblia when gut, urinary and meningeal systems are also involved	Giardia infection may lead to a period of failure to thrive Enteroviral meningoencephalitis is a severe complication in inadequately substituted agammaglobulinaemia Unexplained bronchiectasis; recurrent bronchitis in a non-smoker	<b>Go to Protocol 1</b> <b>Most patients do not have PID. Even if they do, it is seldom life-threatening in the short term.</b> <b>Exclude more frequent non-immunological problems first, except in case of a positive family history</b>	Frequent: normal frequency of infection in infants (day-care, passive smoking), bronchial hyperreactivity, allergy, asthma, adenoidal hypertrophy, iron deficiency anaemia, gastro-oesophageal reflux. Infrequent: cystic fibrosis, inhaled foreign body, congenital anomaly, BPD ;intestinal or renal protein loss. Rare: ciliary dyskinesia, $\alpha$ 1-anti-trypsin deficiency
<b>Failure to thrive from early infancy</b>	T-lymphocyte deficiency [19] (remember HIV) [13]. STAT1 deficiency [20]. Hypermorphemic mutations in Ikb $\beta$ [21].	Mainly viruses (CMV, EBV, VZV, HSV), fungi (superficial candida, aspergillus, Cryptococcus, histoplasma, pneumocystis jiroveci/carinii), protozoa (toxoplasma, microsporidium, cryptosporidium) and intracellular bacteria such as mycobacterium spp. and salmonella	Intractable diarrhoea. Unusual infections or unusually severe course of infections. Graft- versus-host reaction from maternal T lymphocytes or blood transfusion. Eczema	<b>Go to Protocol 2</b> <b>Only a few patients have PID, but delay in diagnosis and treatment by SCT greatly impairs survival. Perform immunological tests in parallel with tests for other causes of failure to thrive</b>	A variety of gastrointestinal, renal, cardiopulmonary, endocrine, neurological, metabolic, and congenital causes. Malignancy. Chronic lead poisoning. Perinatal infection. See appropriate textbooks
<b>Recurrent pyogenic infections</b>	Phagocyte deficiency; rare: defects in phagocyte function [17], more common: neutropenia [18]	Mainly <i>S. aureus</i> , sometimes klebsiella, <i>E. coli</i> , enterobacter, serratia, pseudomonas, salmonella. Invasive fungal infection (disseminated candida, aspergillus, nocardia)	Infections of body surface areas (skin, mouth, mucous membranes), internal organs (lung, liver, lymph nodes) and bones. Unexplained granulomatous inflammation. Poor wound healing	<b>Go to Protocol 3</b> <b>Defects in phagocyte function are rare and seldom immediately life-threatening. Neutropenia is more common and easily detected</b>	Neutropenia: iatrogenic, haematological malignancy, aplastic anaemia Disrupted skin (eczema, burns)

Table 2. Continued

Column 1 Clinical presentation	Column 2 Suspected immunodeficiencies	Column 3 Encountered pathogens	Column 4 Special features	Column 5 Diagnostic protocol	Column 6 Non-immunological differential diagnosis
<b>Unusual infections or unusually severe course of infections</b>	T lymphocyte deficiency [19] (remember HIV) [13], WAS [19], STAT1 deficiency [20]. Hypermorphic mutations in IκBα [21], X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome [25]	Mainly intracellular bacteria such as mycobacterium spp. and salmonella, viruses (CMV, EBV, VZV, HSV), fungi (superficial candida, aspergillus, Cryptococcus, histoplasma, pneumocystis jiroveci/carinii) and protozoa (toxoplasma, microsporidium, cryptosporidium)	Might present later in life	<b>Go to Protocol 2</b> <b>An uncommon presentation of a common disease is more common than an uncommon disease (such as immunodeficiency). Perform screening immunological investigations at an early stage; however, because underlying immunodeficiency may be life-threatening</b>	Virulent strain of pathogen, reduced general condition of patient leading to secondary immunodeficiency (malignancy, malnutrition, chronic disease) Immunosuppressive therapy
<b>Recurrent infections with the same type of pathogen</b>	Dependent on type of pathogen Intracellular bacteria: T lymphocyte-macrophage interaction for cytokine production; auto-antibodies to γ-interferon [20]. Meningococci: complement deficiency [15], sometimes antibody deficiency [14,16]. Candida: T-lymphocyte deficiency [19], CMC [22]. Encapsulated bacteria: antibody deficiencies [14,16]. Pneumococci: IRAK4 deficiency [21]. No/delayed fever/raise in CRP: deficiency in NFκB signalling (IRAK4, NEMO, IκBα deficiency) [21]. Encapsulated bacterial sepsis: asplenia [23]. Excessive warts: epidermodysplasia verruciformis, WHIM. Herpesviruses: NK-cell deficiency. X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome [25]		Normally no other recurrent infectious problems	<b>Dependent on (type of) pathogen, go to:</b> <b>Intracellular bacteria (e.g. salmonella, mycobacteria): Protocol 2,</b> <b>Step 3. Meningococci: Protocol 1. Candida: Protocols 2 and 3.</b> <b>Encapsulated bacteria: Protocol 1; perform splenic ultrasound in case of sepsis.</b> <b>Viruses: Protocol 2. Many have no PID, but the recurrent infections may be life-threatening. Screening is therefore warranted</b>	Increased exposure, coincidence Inadequate treatment of first infection Anatomical defect (e.g. fistula)

<p><b>Autoimmune or chronic inflammatory disease; lymphoproliferation</b></p>	<p>Immunodysregulation in the context of antibody deficiency (CVID, IgA deficiency) [14,16]; complement deficiency (early components of classical pathway) [15], or defective cell-mediated immunity (WAS, CMC) [19]. Defect in apoptosis: caspase 8/10, FAS/FASL [24]. XLP [25]. Polyendocrinopathy ± CMC (APECED; AIRE gene) [26], with enteropathy (IPEX; FOXP3 gene) [27]. Periodic fever syndromes [12]</p>	<p>–</p>	<p><b>Follow appropriate Protocol guided by first work-up. First work-up may comprise:</b> immunoglobulins, CH<sub>50</sub> blood count and differential, lymphocyte subpopulations, acute phase proteins during fever, organ-specific autoantibody screen</p>	<p>Most cases of autoimmune disease, chronic inflammatory disease, and lymphoproliferation are not associated with recurrent infections. If the combination occurs, or if the case presents atypically, immunodeficiency is more likely. See appropriate textbooks</p>
<p><b>Characteristic combinations of clinical features in eponymous syndromes</b></p>	<p>Different syndromes are associated with particular forms of immunodeficiency and concomitant infectious problems [28]</p>	<p>Identify syndrome by clinical features (e.g. DiGeorge, AT, Nijmegen breakage syndrome, EDA-ID) [19,21]</p>	<p><b>Follow appropriate Protocol guided by first work-up</b> <b>First work-up:</b> immunoglobulins, blood count and differential, lymphocyte subpopulations</p>	<p>See appropriate textbooks for syndrome characteristics</p>
<p><b>Angioedema</b></p>	<p>C<sub>1</sub> inhibitor deficiency [29]</p>	<p>Related to triggering factors (e.g. stress, trauma, menses) Symptoms typically last &gt; 24 h. May mimic acute abdomen</p>	<p><b>Go to Protocol 1, Step 2b</b></p>	<p>Allergy; malignancy, auto-immunity ACE-inhibitor therapy</p>

Columns 1 and 5 are the core of the Table, and can be used to go directly to the appropriate diagnostic protocol, guided solely by the clinical presentation of the patient. Columns 2, 3, 4 and 6 contain extra information that can be useful, but does not necessarily have to be used. ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; AIRE, autoimmune regulator; APECED, autoimmune polyendocrinopathy–candidiasis–ectodermal dystrophy; AT, ataxia telangiectasia; BPD; bronchopulmonary dysplasia; C, complement component; CH<sub>50</sub>, haemolytic assay of classical pathway of complement; CMC, chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; EDA-ID, X-linked anhidrotic ectodermal dysplasia with immunodeficiency; EAS(L), tumour necrosis factor receptor associated protein (ligand); FOXP3, forkhead box P3; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; Ig, immunoglobulin; IPEX; immune dysregulation–polyendocrinopathy–enteropathy–X-linked; PID, primary immunodeficiency disease; SCT, stem cell transplantation; WAS, Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome; XLP; X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome; WHIM, warts, hypogammaglobulinaemia, infections, myelokathexis syndrome.



**Protocol 1**

<b>Step 1</b>	<b>Rule out severe antibody deficiency and neutropenia.</b>
<i>Perform</i>	Blood count and differential (platelet volume, absolute lymphocyte count, neutrophil and eosinophil counts), IgG, IgA, and IgM
<i>Next step</i>	Neutropenia: go to Protocol 3, step 2. Agammaglobulinaemia: go to step 3. Decreased level of at least one isotype: go to step 2. IgA deficiency: go to step 2. Normal results: in case of recurrent meningococcal infection go to step 2b; in case of recurrent ENT and airway infection wait for 3–6 months to see if clinical condition resolves; if problems persist: go to step 2 (a+b)

<b>Step 2a</b>	<b>Antibody deficiency</b>
<i>Perform</i>	If not secondary to drugs, lymphoid malignancy, immunoglobulin loss (urine, faeces): booster responses (tetanus; unconjugated pneumococcal vaccine if > 2–3 years of age; a rise in titre appropriate for age to above a defined level should be considered a positive response). Consider IgG-subclasses and M-proteins
<i>Next step</i>	Go to step 3.
<b>Step 2b</b>	<b>Complement deficiency</b>
<i>Perform</i>	CH <sub>50</sub> and AP <sub>50</sub> . Consider MBL. In case of angioedema: C1-inhibitor (level), C4 during attack
<i>Next step</i>	Go to step 3

<b>Step 3</b>	<b>Continue with</b>	<b>Possible diagnosis</b>
<i>Agammaglobulinaemia (step 1)</i>	Lymphocyte subpopulations (Table 3), consider lymphocyte proliferation tests (Table 3), genetic determination of defect if possible	X-linked or autosomal recessive form of congenital agammaglobulinaemia
<i>Normal results in step 2a</i>	Wait and see. If problems persist repeat total IgG, IgA, IgM, and IgG-subclasses after 1–2 years (6 months if < 1 year of age), and booster responses after 3–5 years. Consider Protocol 3. Consider lymphocyte subpopulations (Table 3)	No immunodeficiency, isolated IgA deficiency, developing CVID. TLR-signalling deficiency (IRAK4) (not associated with antibody deficiency)
<i>Abnormal results in step 2a:</i> <i>IgA and/or IgG<sub>2</sub> deficiency</i> <i>Abnormal booster responses</i> <i>Hypogammaglobulinaemia</i>	Consider lymphocyte subpopulations (Table 3), genetic determination of defect if possible. Consider lymphocyte proliferation tests (Table 3), chromosomal analysis, CD40, CD40L after stimulation, $\alpha$ -fetoprotein	Polysaccharide antibody deficiency, THI, CVID $\pm$ thymoma, XLP, HIGM syndrome, WHIM, ICF syndrome, AT, Nijmegen breakage syndrome, Bloom syndrome. WAS
<i>After step 2b</i>	In case of abnormal CH <sub>50</sub> or AP <sub>50</sub> : determination of separate complement components (C1q,C2,C4,C5-C9). ANA. In case of angioedema: C1-inhibitor function (if level is normal)	Inherited complement deficiency, complement consumption (SLE). Hereditary angioedema

**Fig. 1.** Grey shading: collaboration with an immunologist is highly recommended for this step. ANA, anti-nuclear antibodies; AP<sub>50</sub>, haemolytic assay of alternative pathway of complement; AT, ataxia telangiectasia; CD, cluster of differentiation; CH<sub>50</sub>, haemolytic assay of classical pathway of complement; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; ENT, ear, nose and throat; HIGM, hyper-IgM syndrome; ICF, syndrome of immunodeficiency, centromeric instability and facial dysmorphism; Ig, immunoglobulin; IRAK4, interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 4; L, ligand; MBL, mannan binding lectin; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; THI, transient hypogammaglobulinaemia of infancy; TLR, Toll-like receptor; XLP, X-linked lymphoproliferative syndrome.

**Protocol 2**

<b>Step 1</b>	<b><i>Don't hesitate to rule out SCID and AIDS</i></b>
<i>Perform</i>	Blood count and differential (platelet volume, absolute lymphocyte count, neutrophil and eosinophil counts), IgG, IgA, and IgM, lymphocyte subpopulations (Table 3), tests for HIV
<i>Next step</i>	HIV-positive: treat accordingly. Agammaglobulinaemia, lymphocytopenia: go to step 2. Normal results, but no improvement, no other diagnosis: go to step 2 → The possibility of SCID is an emergency! <sup>30</sup> Early SCT can save lives

<b>Step 2</b>	<b><i>Identify the different forms of (severe) combined immunodeficiency</i></b>
<i>Perform</i>	Lymphocyte proliferation tests (Table 3) Consider lymphocyte subpopulations using a more extended protocol than the one mentioned in Table 3, CD40(L), STAT1, I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ . If no agammaglobulinaemia: IgG-subclasses, booster responses, M-proteins
<i>Next step</i>	Abnormal results: go to step 4. Normal results: go to Protocol 3

<b>Step 3</b>	<b><i>Identify defects in communication between T lymphocytes and macrophages</i></b>
<i>Perform</i>	T lymphocyte/macrophage communication (IL12, IL12-receptor, IFN- $\gamma$ -receptor, STAT1) by referral to specialist centre
<i>Next step</i>	Possible diagnosis: defect in one of these factors Normal results: go to step 1, if not yet performed

<b>Step 4</b>	<b><i>Continue with</i></b>	<b><i>Possible diagnosis</i></b>
<i>Additional analysis of clinical status if not yet performed</i>	Test for chimerism (maternal T lymphocytes), analyse possible infections (consider viral PCR/culture/serology, BAL, organ biopsy for histology and culture; look for opportunistic pathogens with appropriate techniques)	
<i>Additional analysis of immune system if diagnosis is not yet clear</i>	Consider <i>in vitro</i> cytokine production, <i>in vivo</i> functional tests (e.g. stimulation with neo-antigen; PPD or candida skin tests), analysis of bone marrow, lymph node biopsy. NK cell cytotoxicity	SCID ( $\gamma_c$ , JAK3, RAG1, RAG2, CD3 $\gamma$ , CD3 $\delta$ , CD3 $\epsilon$ , ADA, PNP, Artemis, IL7-R, IL2-R, HLA-deficiency, Zap-70, CD45), Omenn syndrome, WAS, cartilage hair dysplasia, complete DiGeorge, X-linked hyper-IgM, CMC, EDA-ID (NEMO, I $\kappa$ B $\alpha$ ). Reticular dysgenesis. CD16 deficiency
<i>Look for underlying defect according to clinical and laboratory findings</i>	Consider uric acid, ADA, PNP, $\alpha$ -fetoprotein, X-ray of long bones if short stature or disproportional growth, thymus size (chest X-ray, ultrasound), chromosomal analysis, radiosensitivity tests, 22q11 analysis, clonality studies (V $\beta$ -gene usage). Determination of genetic defect if possible	

**Fig. 2.** Grey shading: collaboration with an immunologist is highly recommended for this step. ADA, adenosine deaminase; AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; CD, cluster of differentiation; CMC, chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; HLA, human leukocyte antigen; Ig, immunoglobulin; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; JAK, janus kinase; L, ligand; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PNP, purine nucleoside phosphorylase; RAG, recombination activating gene; SCID, severe combined immunodeficiency; SCT, stem cell transplantation; STAT, signal transducer and activator of transcription; WAS, Wiskott–Aldrich syndrome; Zap, zeta-associated protein.



**Protocol 3**

<b>Step 1</b>	<b>Identify neutropenia</b>	<b>Possible diagnosis</b>
<i>Perform</i>	Blood count and differential (absolute neutrophil count, microscopic evaluation); perform repeatedly in case of cyclic pattern of fever and infections (no evidence-based guidelines exist; 3×/week for 3–6 weeks is advocated in several reviews)	Cyclic neutropenia, Chediak–Higashi syndrome (giant granules), specific granule deficiency (bilobed nuclei), asplenia (Howell–Jolly bodies)
<i>Next step</i>	Neutropenia: go to step 2. Normal results: determine IgG, IgA, and IgM, CH <sub>50</sub> ; go to step 3. Neutrophilia: go to step 3	

<b>Step 2</b>	<b>Identify the cause of the neutropenia</b>	<b>Possible diagnosis</b>
<i>Isolated neutropenia</i>	Consider secondary causes. Drug use, autoantibodies, ANA, C3/C4, RF, ANCA, Coombs, IgG, IgA and IgM. If normal: analysis of bone marrow (morphology, chromosomes, culture), mobilization tests (G-CSF, prednisone), pancreatic function tests. Consider metabolic disorder and appropriate tests	Drug-induced neutropenia, isolated autoimmune neutropenia, systemic autoimmune disease complicated by neutropenia, agammaglobulinaemia, certain metabolic disorders (e.g. Pearson syndrome), Shwachman–Diamond syndrome, Kostmann syndrome
<i>Pancytopenia</i>	Analysis of bone marrow (morphology, chromosomes, immunophenotyping)	Haematological malignancy, aplastic anaemia

<b>Step 3</b>	<b>Identify defects in phagocyte function</b>	<b>Possible diagnosis</b>
<i>Perform</i>	Phagocyte function tests (Table 4). Serum IgE. Consider hair evaluation, consider CD11/18 and sLeX expression (flowcytometry, in case of neutrophilia)	CGD, hyper-IgE syndrome, Griscelli syndrome, G6PD deficiency, MPO deficiency, LAD, SGD
<i>Normal results</i>	Go to Protocol 1	Selective antibody deficiency, complement deficiency, CVID. TLR-signalling deficiency
	Consider periodic fever syndrome. IgD	PFAPA, Hyper-IgD syndrome, FMF, Hibernian fever

<b>Step 4</b>	<b>Continue with</b>
<i>Determine the underlying genetic defect if possible</i>	e.g. Mutation in one of the genes coding for the NADPH-oxidase complex, neutrophil elastase gene ELA2, LYST gene, SBDS gene, myosin 5A gene, G6PD gene

**Fig. 3.** Grey shading: collaboration with an immunologist or hematologist is highly recommended for this step. ANA, anti-nuclear antibody; ANCA, anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibodies; C, complement component; CD, cluster of differentiation; CGD, chronic granulomatous disease; CVID, common variable immunodeficiency; FMF, familial Mediterranean fever; G-CSF, granulocyte–colony-stimulating factor; G6PD, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase; MPO, myeloperoxidase; NADPH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PFAPA, periodic fever–aphthous stomatitis–pharyngitis–cervical adenopathy; RF, rheumatoid factor; SBDS, Schwachman–Bodian–Diamond syndrome; SGD, neutrophil-specific granule deficiency; sLeX, sialyl Lewis X; TLR, Toll-like receptor.

on the ESID multi-stage diagnostic protocol for suspected immunodeficiency.

### Contributors to the study

E. de Vries, Department of Paediatrics, Jeroen Bosch Hospital (loc GZG), 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands, D. S. Kumararatne, Department of Clinical Immunology, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK, A. Al-Ghonaïm, Department of Paediatrics, Paediatric Allergy and Immunology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, J.-L. Casanova, Unité d'Immunologie et d'Hématologie Pédiatriques, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris, France, H. Chapel, Nuffield Department of Medicine, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headington, Oxford, UK, K. C. Gilmour, Department of Immunology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK, B. Eley, Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, Red Cross Children's Hospital, Rondebosch, Cape Town, South Africa, A. Ferster, Hemato-Oncology Unit, Hôpital des Enfants Reine Fabiola, Belgium, A. S. Grumach, Outpatient Group of PID and Laboratory of Medical Investigation in Dermatology and Immunodeficiencies, Department Dermatology, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil, M. Helbert, Department of Immunology, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester, UK, M. Helminen, Department of Paediatrics, Paediatric Research Center, Tampere University Hospital, Tampere,

**Table 3.** Basic protocol for *in vitro* determination of lymphocyte subpopulations and function.

(a) Determine the absolute count of the following lymphocyte subpopulations, and compare the results with age-matched reference values	
CD3 <sup>+</sup>	T lymphocytes
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /CD4 <sup>+</sup>	Helper-T lymphocytes
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /CD8 <sup>+</sup>	Cytotoxic T lymphocytes
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /HLA-DR <sup>+</sup>	Activated T lymphocytes
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /CD4 <sup>+</sup> /CD8 <sup>-</sup>	'Double-negative' T cells
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /TCR- $\gamma\delta$ <sup>+</sup>	Subset of T lymphocytes
CD19 <sup>+</sup> or CD20 <sup>+</sup>	B lymphocytes
CD3 <sup>+</sup> /CD16 <sup>+</sup> and/or CD56 <sup>+</sup>	NK cells
(b) Determine the uptake of [ <sup>3</sup> H]-thymidine (or CFSE or activation markers) and compare the results with – preferably – age-matched controls after stimulation with:	
Mitogens (e.g. PHA, PMA + ionomycin, PWM)	
Consider monoclonal antibodies (e.g. CD2 ± CD28, CD3 ± CD28)	
Antigens (e.g. tetanus, after booster vaccination)	
Consider allogeneic cells	

(a) Can be performed in many hospitals; for correct interpretation of the results, the advice of an immunologist is highly recommended.  
 (b) Collaboration with an immunologist and specialized laboratory is recommended.

Abbreviations: CD = cluster of differentiation, CFSE = carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester, HLA = human leucocyte antigen, NK = natural killer, PHA = phytohaemagglutinin, PMA = phorbol myristate acetate, PWM = pokeweed mitogen, TCR = T-cell receptor.

**Table 4.** Protocol for determination of granulocyte function.

(a) Oxidative burst and flow cytometry
Nitroblue tetrazolium test (NBT) to a stimulant (PMA, LPS)
Chemoluminescence test
Flow cytometric analysis using dihydrorhodamine (DHR)
Immunophenotyping (CD18, CD11)
(b) Chemotaxis, granule contents, bacterial killing, phagocytosis
Migration to a chemoattractant (e.g. FMLP)
Immunohistochemistry of granule contents, electron microscopy
Bacterial killing (e.g. of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> )
Phagocytosis (e.g. zymosan uptake)

(a) Can be performed in many hospitals; for correct interpretation of the results, the advice of an immunologist is highly recommended.  
 (b) Collaboration with an immunologist and specialized laboratory is recommended. FMLP, formyl-met-leu-phe, a bacterial peptide; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; PMA, phorbol myristate acetate.

Finland, T. W. Kuijpers, Department of Paediatric Immunology, Emma Children's Hospital, Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, B. Martire, Department of Biomedicine, Eta' Evolutiva Università' di Bari, Bari, Italy, F. Mascart, Laboratory Immunology, Hôpital Erasme, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium, D. de Mattia, Department of Paediatrics, Policlinico, Università di Bari, Bari, Italy, A. Stray-Pedersen, Department of Medical Genetics, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway, S. Velbri, Tallinn Children's Hospital, Tallinn, Estonia, P. Wood, Department of Clinical Immunology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals, Leeds, UK, H. B. Gaspar, Molecular Immunology Unit, Institute of Child Health, London, UK, and A. J. Cant, Children's Bone Marrow Transplant Unit, Newcastle General Hospital, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK.

### References

- Notarangelo L, Casanova JL, Fischer A *et al.* Primary immunodeficiency diseases: an update. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; **114**:677–87.
- Stiehm ER, Ochs HD, Winkelstein JA. Immunodeficiency disorders: general considerations. In: Stiehm ER, Ochs HD, Winkelstein JA, eds. *Immunologic disorders in infants and children*, 5th edn. Philadelphia: 2004:289–355.
- Folds JD, Schmitz JL. Clinical and laboratory assessment of immunity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2003; **111** (2 Suppl.):S702–11.
- Bonilla FA, Bernstein IL, Khan DA *et al.* American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; Joint Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Practice parameter for the diagnosis and management of primary immunodeficiency. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2005; **94** (5 Suppl. 1):S1–63.
- Spickett GP, Askew T, Chapel HM. Management of primary antibody deficiency by consultant immunologists in the United Kingdom: a paradigm for other rare diseases. *Qual Health Care* 1995; **4**:263–8.
- De Vries E, Kuijpers TW, van Tol MJ, van der Meer JW, Weemaes CM, van Dongen JJ. [Immunology in medical practice. XXXIV.

- Screening for suspected immunodeficiency. Introduction]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2000; **144**:2192–6.
- 7 De Vries E, Kuijpers TW, van Tol MJ, van der Meer JW, Weemaes CM, van Dongen JJ. [Immunology in medical practice. XXXV. Screening of suspected immunodeficiency: diagnostic protocols for patients with opportunistic or recurrent severe infections, wasting and failure to thrive]. *Ned Tijdschr Geneesk* 2000; **144**:2197–203.
  - 8 De Vries E, Kuijpers TW. The Dutch multistage laboratory protocol for the diagnosis of immunodeficiency. In: Etzioni A, ed. *Proceedings of the European Society for Immunodeficiencies*. Bologna: Monduzzi Editore, 2000:165–71.
  - 9 Casanova JL, Fieschi C, Bustamante J, Reichenbach J, Remus N, von Bernuth H, Picard C. From idiopathic infectious diseases to novel primary immunodeficiencies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2005; **116**:426–30.
  - 10 Stiehm ER, Chin TW, Haas A, Peerless AG. Infectious complications of the primary immunodeficiencies. *Clin Immunol Immunopathol* 1986; **40**:69–86.
  - 11 Arkwright PD, Abinun M, Cant AJ. Autoimmunity in human primary immunodeficiency diseases. *Blood* 2002; **99**:2694–702.
  - 12 Long SS. Distinguishing among prolonged, recurrent, and periodic fever syndromes: approach of a pediatric infectious diseases subspecialist. *Pediatr Clin North Am* 2005; **52**:811–35.
  - 13 Steinbrook R. The AIDS epidemic in 2004. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **351**:115–7.
  - 14 Ballou M. Primary immunodeficiency disorders: antibody deficiency. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; **109**:581–91.
  - 15 Sjöholm AG, Jonsson G, Braconier JH, Sturfelt G, Truedsson L. Complement deficiency and disease: an update. *Mol Immunol* 2006; **43**:78–85.
  - 16 Cunningham-Rundles C, Bodian C. Common variable immunodeficiency. clinical and immunological features of 248 patients. *Clin Immunol* 1999; **92**:34–48.
  - 17 Heyworth PG, Cross AR, Curnutte JT. Chronic granulomatous disease. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2003; **15**:578–84.
  - 18 Berliner N, Horwitz M, Loughran TP Jr. Congenital and acquired neutropenia. *Hematology (Am Soc Hematol Educ Prog)* 2004; **2004**:63–79.
  - 19 Buckley RH. Primary cellular immunodeficiencies. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002; **109**:747–57.
  - 20 Remus N, Reichenbach J, Picard C *et al.* Impaired interferon gamma-mediated immunity and susceptibility to mycobacterial infection in childhood. *Pediatr Res* 2001; **50**:8–13.
  - 21 Puel A, Picard C, Ku CL, Smahi A, Casanova JL. Inherited disorders of NF-kappaB-mediated immunity in man. *Curr Opin Immunol* 2004; **16**:34–41.
  - 22 Kirkpatrick CH. Chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2001; **20**:197–206.
  - 23 Davidson RN, Wall RA. Prevention and management of infections in patients without a spleen. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2001; **7**:657–60.
  - 24 Oliveira JB, Fleisher T. Autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2004; **4**:497–503.
  - 25 Sneller MC, Dale JK, Straus SE. Autoimmune lymphoproliferative syndrome. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2003; **15**:417–21.
  - 26 Betterle C, Greggio NA, Volpato M. Autoimmune polyglandular syndrome type 1. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1998; **83**:1049–55.
  - 27 Gambineri E, Torgerson TR, Ochs HD. Immune dysregulation, polyendocrinopathy, enteropathy, and X-linked inheritance (IPEX), a syndrome of systemic autoimmunity caused by mutations of FOXP3, a critical regulator of T-cell homeostasis. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2003; **15**:430–5.
  - 28 Ming JE, Stiehm ER, Graham JM Jr. Syndromes associated with immunodeficiency. *Adv Pediatr* 1999; **46**:271–351.
  - 29 Gompels MM, Lock RJ, Abinun M, *et al.* C1 inhibitor deficiency: consensus document. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2005; **139**:379–94.
  - 30 Rosen FS. Severe combined immunodeficiency: a pediatric emergency. *J Pediatr* 1997; **130**:345–6.